

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/808,884	Applicant(s) HEWITT ET AL.	
	Examiner Joseph D. Torres	Art Unit 2133	

All Participants:
Status of Application: New Case

 (1) Joseph D. Torres.

(3) _____.

 (2) Thomas Haverstock.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 August 2004
Time: 12pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-40

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During a telephone conversation with Thomas Haverstock on 23 August 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-7 and 11-40.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)